# Role of Integrated PET/CT Fusion in Lung Carcinoma

# S.C. Joshi<sup>1</sup>, Ishita Pant<sup>2</sup>, F.A. Khan<sup>3</sup>, A.N. Shukla<sup>4</sup> and K. Gokula<sup>5</sup>

Department of <sup>1,3,5</sup> Clinical Oncology, <sup>2</sup> Pathology, <sup>4</sup> Palliative Care, Advanced Medical & Dental Institute, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Pinang, Malaysia

#### Abstract:

Positron emission tomography has emerged as an important diagnostic tool in the management of lung cancers. PET is sensitive in the detection of lung cancer, but FDG (2-deoxy-2-18fluro-D-glucose) is not tumor specific and may accumulate in a variety of non-malignant conditions occasionally giving false negative result. The addition of CT to PET improves specificity foremost, but also sensitivity in tumor imaging. Thus, PET/CT fusion images are a more accurate test than either of its individual components and are probably also better than side-by-side viewing of images from both modalities. PET/CT fusion images are useful in differentiating between malignant and benign disease, fibrosis and recurrence, staging and in changing patient management to a more appropriate therapy. With analysis and discussion, it appears that PET/ CT fusion images have the potential to dramatically improve our ability to manage the patients with lung cancer and is contributing to our understanding of cancer cell biology and in the development of new therapies.

Keywords: Positron emission tomography (PET), CAT scan (CT), Lung carcinoma.

#### Correspondence:

Dr. Sanjeev Chandra Joshi Advanced Medical and Dental Institute Universiti Sains Malaysia 29, Lorong Bertam Indah 4-9 Taman Bertam Indah, 13200 Kepala Batas Sebarng Perai Utara, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia E-mail: scjoshi@amdi.usm.edu.my 98 S.C. Joshi, et al.

#### Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) has emerged as a significant molecular imaging technique in the cancer research. In the management of lung carcinoma, its use is increasing day by day with various new innovative approaches. PET has provided quantitative in vivo the measurements of the biodistribution of radioactively labeled tracers in the lung tissue, which can be labeled with shortlived radioisotopes of the natural elements of the biochemical constituents of the body tissue [1]. This provides PET with a unique ability to detect and quantify the physiological and receptor processes in the lung that is not possible by any other imaging technique [2]. The integration of PET and CT fusion provides, first and foremost, the precise localization of the lesions on the FDG PET scans within the anatomic reference frame provided by computed tomography (CT), thereby increasing a specificity of the examination and PET/CT fused images are always available at the end of the examination. The first proof of combined positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT) (PET/CT) system was started to in 1998. Since then, PET/ CT fusion has developed into the fastest growing imaging modality worldwide [3, 4]. Various recent PET/CT fusion studies on lung carcinoma have clearly advocated its role in the management of lung cancer patients [5]. The present article will outline the clinical application and significance of positron emission tomography (PET), computed tomography (CT) in the diagnosis, treatment and follow up evaluation in the lung carcinoma.

# General Technical Aspects of PET/CT Fusion Study

The most commonly used radionuclide is Fluoride (18F). Fluoride has a long half life (110 minutes) for transport radiotracers over limited distances, hence most widely used in PET as 2-deoxy-2-18fluro-D-glucose (FDG). FDG measures the local rates of the glucose metabolism of the cells. Glucose metabolism is increased in lung cancer cells. Therefore, positron emission tomography (PET) with 18FDG has a sensitivity to detect cacinoma [6]. In the evaluation of lung cancer patients, a very small amount of a biological compound labeled with a positron-emitting radionuclide (usually 18F-fluoro-2-deoxy glucose, or 18F-FDG) is injected intravenously and

a PET scanner measures the localization of 18F-FDG in the tissue [7]. The PET image acquisition depends on the external detection in coincidence of simultaneously emitted 511 keV gamma rays arising from the annihilation of emitted positrons (positively charged beta particles) by applying mathematical reconstruction techniques. The data can be transformed into images that embody quantitative physiological, pathological or pharmacological information [8]. Clinically, a variable diaphragmatic position or any other patient motion between CT and PET data acquisitions leads to errors in image fusion. This is rarely a diagnostic problem, since one has knowledge about how a PET lesion may be displaced on the corresponding CT image [9]. It has been shown that the use of CT data for transmission correction does not alter the size of the lesion significantly and that the measured standardized uptake values do not differ substantially from those obtained with radioactive source transmission correction [10]. All currently available data indicate that combined PET/CT is more sensitive and specific than either of its constituent imaging methods. In PET/CT fusion probably the most relevant additional effect is that CT data frequently add specificity to the FDG PET data [5]. However, FDG PET data also help to specify CT findings such as lymph nodes with an equivocal appearance. In some situations, such as when disseminated pulmonary metastases are too small to be seen at PET, CT is also able to increase the sensitivity of the PET/CT examination. Thus, there is a general consensus that PET/CT is more accurate in lung tumor staging than PET or CT alone [11, 12, 20]. The advantage of conjoint image viewing is such that authors of a recent study [13] have shown that in approximately two-thirds of patients with lesions seen on PET images; CT data are needed to improve the specificity of the findings. Once PET/CT data have been obtained, lesions identified at PET are localized in the anatomic CT reference frame. It is then a simple task to mentally register anatomic imaging studies performed during a different session to the PET/CT study because of the anatomic details available on the latter.

# Clinical Role of PET/CT in Lung Carcinoma

It is reported that FDG PET is useful in differentiating between malignant and benign disease [14], most accurate non-invasive method to detect and stage disease [2, 4, 10], monitoring

treatment response [15], and restaging in case of a suspected recurrent disease [12]. Integrated PET/CT adds important clinical information in comparison to PET alone, CT alone, or a separate comparison of PET and CT images, owing to better lesion identification and localization and fewer overlooked lesions in tumors that do not consistently accumulate FDG [12, 16, 20]. This has major implications in terms of improving the planning of treatment and avoiding unnecessary treatment and its associated morbidity and cost [5, 17]. The establishment of diagnosis, staging, delivery of accurate treatment and its response including reduced costs and morbidity are the variables that should be measured to evaluate the efficacy of PET in lung cancers.

#### **PET in Solitary Pulmonary Nodules**

The advantage of PET/CT over PET in characterizing pulmonary nodules has not yet been defined. There have been many studies examining the accuracy of 18F-FDG-PET in evaluating indeterminate solitary pulmonary nodules discovered by chest X-ray or CT scanning [18]. The analysis of these studies, involving over 500 patients, has shown high sensitivity (average 96%) and accuracy (average 94%) for determining malignancy [2, 7]. Although FDG-PET is sensitive in the detection of lung cancer, FDG is not tumor specific and may accumulate in a variety of non-malignant conditions also that may occasionally give rise to false negative result [19]. Conventional PET is unsuitable for tumor staging (T staging) because it cannot help to anatomically define the tumor confines. Recently, it has been shown that in the tumor staging of patients with lung cancer, the analysis of integrated PET/CT images is superior to that of CT images alone, PET images alone, and PET and CT images viewed side by side [20]. Owing to the exact correlation between the extent of FDG uptake and anatomy, focal chest wall infiltration, mediastinal invasion, and the differentiation of tumor from atelectasis are improved. The latter is particularly important for the planning of radiation therapy in patients with lung cancer associated with atelectasis [21]. However, PET/CT with nonenhanced CT is unable to distinguish the contiguity of tumor and mediastinum from the direct invasion of the walls of mediastinal structures. and one still must rely on contrast-enhanced CT to help define mediastinal vascular invasion.

The specificity is high but the variation is slightly greater and is dependent on the local prevalence of the known causes of false positive cases, particularly in granulomatous diseases such as tuberculosis and histoplasmosis. In carcinoid tumors, bronchioloalveolar lung carcinoma, and malignant effusions, diagnosis can sometimes only be based on the CT findings. The use of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET for solitary pulmonary nodules should at present be reserved for the cases where CAT scan guided fine needle biopsy is technically difficult or has been non-diagnostic.

# PET in Staging of Non-small Cell Lung Carcinoma

<sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET CT fusion is emerging as a standard preoperative assessment test in patients with non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) [22]. In patients with known non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), the results of staging both with in and outside the thorax are keys in determining operability. The demonstration of unilateral hilar lymphadenopathy is not a contraindication to surgery if the nodes can be resected with the primary tumor. Conversely, extensive mediastinal involvement of contra-lateral lymph nodes, as well as the presence of distance metastases. should contraindicate surgery due to the high surgical morbidity and poor prognosis. The sub optimal sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of conventional imaging techniques including CT and MRI, for the staging of lung carcinoma, has been demonstrated repeatedly [23]. FDG PET is more accurate than CT for identifying the metastasis as it is dependent not on size but on metabolic activity and has an accuracy of >90% in identifying metastases, recurrent or persistent disease. Presently, PET-CT seems to be a more accurate standard method in the presurgical diagnosis and the staging of lung cancer [23]. Through the correct staging and diagnosis of disease, the status by 18F-FDG PET may not only change the treatment policy in disseminated disease making curative therapies futile, but also avoid active treatment in absence of malignancy.

#### PET/CT Fusion in Recurrent Lung Carcinoma

The ability to evaluate accurately residual masses following surgery or radiotherapy for lung cancer is essential in many patients. Post-treatment fibrosis and scarring are common, and <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/ CT has been shown in small

100 S.C. Joshi, et al.

series to be accurate in detecting residual tumor that allows treatment-planning decisions to be made reliably [24]. Preliminary data suggest the superiority of PET for the restaging of lung cancer and inferior prognosis for the patients with positive PET findings. The potential role of F-FDG PET/ CT fusion in treatment planning for radiotherapy of unresectable lung cancer has also been explored and may provide improved treatment with a reduced incidence of relapse outside the radiotherapy field [25]. Radiotherapy treatment planning incorporating PET defined target volumes have indicated that accurate dosimetry using PET/CT fusion defined volumes is highly dependant on accurate target segmentation to improve local tumor control and reduce normal tissue toxicities [26].

# PET/CT Fusion in Radiation Therapy Planning

With regard to radiation therapy, PET/CT may be particularly useful because, in addition to the typically excellent staging afforded by PET/ CT before treatment, the CT data from a PET/ CT examination can be used for radiation therapy planning, provided the CT data are properly acquired [27, 28]. PET has a considerable effect on the decision-making process prior to radiation therapy planning. These treatment changes include the prevention of inappropriate radiation therapy and changes in the intent regarding curative versus palliative radiation therapy, the radiation dose, or the planning target volume [27]. When PET data are used in addition to CT data for planning, there is a much better agreement to include the known regions of micrometastatic spread and a safety margin. The effect of PET for radiation therapy planning on the definition of the planning volume may be more relevant in lung carcinoma where it is difficult to distinguish tumor from atelectasis. The key question of the effect of PET/CT in radiation therapy planning is whether the extension of the planning treatment volume on the basis of PET/CT fusion data will improve patient survival. This is an area to be studied as right now there is no data available currently.

# Monitoring the Response of Tumor to Therapy

An emerging area of clinical utility of PET/ CT fusion images are in the monitoring of tumor response to therapy, principally with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG. PET/ CT fusion images are more helpful in differentiating fibrosis from recurrent tumor in treated sites and metastatic lung disease as positron emission tomography shows the metabolism of the tumor cells, while the computed tomography images show the anatomic localization of the disease. The accurate assessments of response to chemotherapy and radiation therapy often, preceding CT scan changes, have been reported not only in lung carcinoma, but also in glioma, colorectal carcinoma, lymphoma, head and neck tumors and soft tissue sarcomas [28]. The implications of this approach are significant in terms of optimizing treatment regimens, minimizing unnecessary morbidity and reducing costs.

#### Conclusion

Positron emission tomography with computed tomography fusion (PET/CT fusion) images have emerged as a powerful diagnostic tool in the management of patients with lung cancers. The published literature has provided the evidence of the superior utility of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/ CT fusion over conventional imaging methods in the staging of lung cancers, in monitoring disease recurrence and in changing patient management to a more appropriate therapy. The methods for evaluating the efficacy of PET/CT fusion remain complex, particularly as the evidence based approach of randomized controlled trials may not be appropriate for imaging technologies. With analysis and discussion, it appears that PET/CT fusion has the potential to dramatically improve our ability to manage the patients with lung cancer and is contributing to our understanding of cancer cell biology and in the development of new therapies. It also raises issues that need to be further investigated for further advances in the management of lung cancer patients with high risk, characterization of prognostic factors, improved patient selection, and continued refinements in therapeutic approach.

#### References

- [1] Strauss LG, Conti PS. The application of PET in clinical oncology. J Nucl Med. 1991; 32: 623-48.
- [2] Bar-Shalom R, Valdivia AY, Blaufox MD. PET imaging in oncology. Semin Nucl Med. 2000; 30: 150-85.
- [3] Beyer T, Townsend DW, Brun T, et al. A combined PET/CT scanner for clinical oncology. J Nucl Med. 2000; 41: 1369-1379.

- [4] von Schulthess GK. Clinical Molecular Anatomic Imaging: PET, PET/CT and SPECT/CT. Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pa. 2003.
- [5] Hany TF, Steinert HC, Goerres GW, Buck A, von Schulthess GK. PET diagnostic accuracy: Improvement with in-line PET-CT system: Initial results. Radiology. 2002; 225: 575-581.
- [6] Onarae Rice, Sandra Saintvictor, Michael M, Panayotis T, Samuel JG. Micro-PET investigation of chronic long term neurotoxicity from heavy ion irradiation. The AAPS Journal. 2006; 8(3): Article 60: E508-E514.
- [7] Som P, Atlkins HL, Bandoypadhyaya D, et al. A flourinated glucose analogue, 2-flouro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (18F): Non-toxic tracer for rapid tumor detaction. J Nucl Med. 1980; 21: 670-5.
- [8] McQuadea P, Douglas JR, Jason SL, Michael JW. Positron-emitting isotopes produced on biomedical cyclotrons. Current Medicinal Chemistry. 2005; 12(7): 807-818.
- [9] Cohade C, Osman M, Marshall LN, Wahl RN. PET-CT: Accuracy of PET and CT spatial registration of lung lesions. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2003; 30: 721-726.
- [10] Kamel E, Hany TF, Burger C, et al. CT vs 68Ge attenuation correction in a combined PET/CT system: Evaluation of the effect of lowering the CT tube current. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2002; 29: 346-350.
- [11] Antoch G, Saoudi N, Kuehl H, et al. Accuracy of whole-body dual-modality fluorine-18-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography and computed tomography (FDG-PET/CT) for tumor staging in solid tumors: comparison with CT and PET. J Clin Oncol. 2004; 22: 4357-4368.
- [12] Keidar Z, Haim N, Guralnik L, et al. PET/CT using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG in suspected lung cancer recurrence: Diagnostic value and impact on patient management. J Nucl Med. 2004; 45: 1640-1646.
- [13] Reinartz P, Wieres FJ, Schneider W, Schur A, Buell U. Side-by-side reading of PET and CT scans in oncology: Which patients might profit from integrated PET/CT? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2004; 31: 1456-1461.
- [14] Demura Y, Tsuchida T, Ishizaki, et al. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG accumulation with PET for differentiation between benign and malignant lesions in thorax. J Nucl Med. 2003; 44: 540-548.
- [15] Kostakoglu L, Goldsmith SJ. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET evaluation of the response to therapy for lymphoma and for beast, lung, and colorectal carcinoma. J Nucl Med. 2003; 44: 224-39.
- [16] Antoch G, Stattaus J, Nemat AT, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer: Dual-modality

- PET/CT in preoperative staging. Radiology. 2003; 229(2): 526-533.
- [17] Reutens DC, Bittar RG, Tochon-Danguy H, Scott AM. Cilinical applications of (150) H<sub>2</sub>O PET activation studies. Clin Positron Imag. 1999; 2: 145-52.
- [18] Lowe VJ, Fletcher JW, Gobar L, et al. Prospective investigation of positron emission tomography in lung nodules. J Clin Oncol. 1998; 16: 1075-84.
- [19] Gilman MD, Aquino SL. State-of-the-art FDG-PET imaging of lung cancer. Semin Roentogenol. 2005 Apr; 40(2): 143-53.
- [20] Lardinois D, Weder W, Hany TF, et al. Staging of non-small-cell lung cancer with integrated positron-emission tomography and computed tomography. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348: 2500-2507.
- [21] Ciernik IF, Dizendorf E, Baumert BG, et al. Radiation treatment planning with an integrated positron emission and computer tomography (PET/CT): A feasibility study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2003; 57: 853-863.
- [22] Gambhir SS, Hohck, Phelps NE, Madar I, et al. Decision free sensitivity analysis for cost effective ness of FDG-PET in the staging and management of non-small cell lung carcinoma. J Nucl Med. 1996; 37: 1428-36.
- [23] Diva F, Oliveira A, Barroso A, et al. PET: Indication in lung cancer: A prospective experience. Rev Port Pneumol. 2005 Nov; 11 (6<sup>th</sup> Suppl): 12-13.
- [24] Kiffer J, Berlangieri SU, Scott AM, et al. The contribution of <sup>18</sup>fluro-2-dexoy-glucose positron emission tomographic imaging (FDG-PET) to radiotherapy planning in lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 1998; 19: 167-77.
- [25] Ling CC, Hummjlarson S, et al. Towards multidimensional radiotherapy (MD- CRP): Biological conformity. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2000; 47: 551-60.
- [26] Chetty IJ, Fernando S, Kessler ML, McShan DL, et al. Monte Carlo based lung cancer treatment planning incorporating PET defined target volumes. J Appl Clin Med Phys. 2005; 6(4): 65-76.
- [27] Dizendorf E, Baumert B, von Schulthess GK, Luetolf UM, Steinert HC. The impact of 18Ffluorodeoxyglucosepositronemissiontomography (FDG-PET) on planning of radiotherapy (in Russian). Ter Arkh. 2001; 73: 11-14.
- [28] Bradley J, Thorstad WL, Mutic S, et al. Impact of FDG-PET on radiation therapy volume delineation in non-small-cell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2004; 59: 78-86.